

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>		<b>Applicant(s)</b>		
	09/758,007		ZON ET AL.		
	<b>Examiner</b>		<b>Art Unit</b>		
	Q. Janice Li		1632		

**All Participants:**

(1) Q. Janice Li, PTO.

(2) Leena Karttnen, Appl Rep.

**Date of Interview:** 24 March 2005

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes    ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
N/A

Claims discussed:  
18 and 20

Prior art documents discussed:  
None

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The Examiner proposed amendment of claims 18 and 20 to correct issues under 35USC, § 112, 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph. Applicants agreed on making the changes by an Examiner's amendment.*


  

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
**Q. JANICE LI, M.D.**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)